## COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 4145-02

Bill No.: Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SCS for SCR 35 & 32

Subject: Agriculture and Animals; General Assembly; State Tax Commission; Taxation

and Revenue - Property

Type: Original Date: May 27, 2010

Bill Summary: Would disapprove the new values for agricultural and horticultural

property filed with the Secretary of State's Office on December 21, 2009,

by the State Tax Commission.

### **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013		
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	go.	ga.	60		
Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0		

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 4145-02

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SCS for SCR 35 & 32 Page 2 of 4

May 27, 2010

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED	JND AFFECTED FY 2011 FY 20121				
Total Estimated Net Effect on All Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0		

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	2 FY 2013		
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0		

	Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings	or (	(cost)	)
_	Estimated Total Net Effect on All lunds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings	OI	COST	,.

Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Page 3 of 4 May 27, 2010

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State**, the **Department of Revenue**, and the **State Tax Commission** assume this proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organizations.

Officials from the **Office of Administration**, **Division of Budget and Planning** (BAP) assume this proposal would not result in additional costs or savings to their organizations. BAP officials stated that the proposal could impact school districts and the state Blind Pension Fund.

**Oversight** notes that this proposal would prohibit the implementation of new productivity valuations for agricultural and horticultural land, and thus prevent the revaluation of such land by local assessors. Because of the effect of existing property tax limitation provisions, Oversight assumes that such revaluation would have partially shifted local property taxes to agricultural and horticultural land and away from residential and commercial property. This proposal, if enacted, would prevent that revaluation and subsequent property tax shift. Accordingly, Oversight will indicate no fiscal impact for this proposal.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2011 (10 Mo.)	FY 2012	FY 2013
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2011 (10 Mo.)	FY 2012	FY 2013
	<u>\$0</u>	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u><b>\$0</b></u>

#### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

L.R. No. 4145-02 Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SCS for SCR 35 & 32 Page 4 of 4 May 27, 2010

### FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

# SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the Secretary of State
Office of Administration
Division of Budget and Planning
Department of Revenue
State Tax Commission

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

May 27, 2010